Samuel Bloxham, Zack Hawkins, Sebastian Michalak, Josie Wood


Word count:  [-----]

ISAD: Group Report

# Modelling

Modelling  Initial entity relationship diagram  Results of normalisation  Final entity relationship diagram

Initial ERD



Assumptions:

* Journeys are made by cars, not drivers

Normalisation

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **UNF** | **1NF** | **2NF** | **3NF** |
|  |  | **Control centre** | **Control Centre** |
|  |  | Control desk | Control Desk |
|  |  | Vehicle reg |  |
|  |  | Car make | **Control Centre** |
|  |  | Passenger capacity | **Call ID** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Control centre** | **Call ID** |
|  |  | Call ID | Customer ID |
|  |  | Customer ID | Driver ID |
|  |  | Driver ID | Pick up Address |
|  |  | Pick up address | Pick up Postcode |
|  |  | Pick up postcode | Date/Time |
|  |  | Date/time | Drop off Address |
|  |  | Drop off address | Drop off Postcode |
|  |  | Drop off postcode | Note |
|  |  | Note | Card # |
|  |  | Card # |  |
|  |  |  | **Control Centre** |
|  |  | **Control centre** | **Customer ID** |
|  |  | **Customer ID** |  |
|  |  |  | **Customer ID** |
|  |  | **Customer ID** | Customer first name |
|  |  | Customer first name | Customer last name |
|  |  | Customer last name | Home tel. # |
|  |  | Home tel. # | Mobile # |
|  |  | Mobile # | Address |
|  |  | Address | Comment |
|  |  | Comment |  |
|  |  |  | **Control Centre** |
|  |  | **Control centre** | **Driver ID** |
|  |  | **Driver ID** |  |
|  |  |  | **Driver ID** |
|  |  | **Driver ID** | **Vehicle reg** |
|  |  | Driver first name | Driver first name |
|  |  | Driver last name | Driver last name |
|  |  | Days working | Days working |
|  |  | shifts | Shifts |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Vehicle Reg** |
|  |  |  | Car make |
|  |  |  | Passenger capacity |
|  |  |  |  |

# Database development

Database Development  Queries employed to produce your database  Queries employed to retrieve the information listed in Section 1.5.

# Evaluation/ Critical review

Evaluation / Critical Review  Problems encountered and any deviations from the design with reasons  Suitability and completeness of the solution  Further work—what else you would like to do and what you would do differently?

Problems encountered

Suitability and completeness of solution

What would we do differently?

# Group involvement

Group Involvement  Project Plan Template: Have all members of the group contributed equivalently to the final submission? Use the provided Project Plan template to indicate the amount of work each member of the group has produced. The Project Plan Template can be downloaded from the DLE—find it under the Coursework Section.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Activity** | **Expected Start Date** | **Estimated Hours (per person)** | **Actual Start Date** | **Actual Hours Spent on this activity** | **Work Carried Out by** |
| **Data Model (ERD)** | 11 February | 10 | 19/02/19 | 2 | Sam, Seb, Zack, Josie |
| **Data Model (Normalisation)** | 18 February | 10 | 19/03/19 | 5 | Sam, Seb, Zack, Josie |
| **Development (MySQL)** | 25 February | 30 |  |  |  |
| **Report Writing** | 11 February | 10 | 26/03/19 | 1 | Josie |